

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE HELLER EHRMAN LLP,
Liquidating Debtor.

No. CV 13-01775 CRB
CV 13-01890 CRB
CV 13-01891 CRB
CV 13-01892 CRB

HELLER EHRMAN LLP
Plaintiff,

v.

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
LLP ET AL.,
Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR
LEAVE TO FILE INTERLOCUTORY
APPEAL**

These four cases stem from the dissolution of the law firm Heller Ehrman LLP. (“Heller”). Defendants seek leave from this Court to appeal from the Bankruptcy Court’s interlocutory summary judgment ruling on fraudulent transfer claims brought by Heller’s plan administrator. Having considered whether the appeal presents a controlling question of law as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion, and whether an immediate appeal would materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation, see 28

//

//

//

1 U.S.C. § 158(a)(3); In re Belli, 268 B.R. 851, 858 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2001); Oliner v.
2 Kontrabecki, 305 B.R. 510, 527 (N.D. Cal. 2004), the Court DENIES the motions.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5
6 Dated: May 10, 2013



CHARLES R. BREYER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California